

being a humble, modest legislator, all I can do is offer motions to try to delay what the Legislature is doing that is wrong. And it is wrong (laugh), it is wrong for the Legislature to do this dastardly deed. So I'm offering this motion to kill the bill, and I hope enough of you will vote for it to do that. Remember, without this bill being passed, no agency is being asked to go into its pocket to pay more for providing information than they receive from the public as a fee for obtaining it. It is a revenue generator, and as such it is a tax. I'm going to deal with what Senator Lamb talked about briefly the other day when he tried to compare this to a hunting license and said it doesn't cost as much to give somebody a hunting license as they charge for it. Senator Lamb, there is a difference between a hunting license and this information. A hunting license authorizes you to kill helpless creatures for your fun and amusement, or to injure them. So, since you are going to engage in that kind of conduct, they are going to make you pay a price for it. This is merely obtaining information. And in some instances the information relates to the individual who is trying to obtain it. A dollar is too much, \$1.75 is outrageous. So I hope you will vote to kill this bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the Chambers motion to indefinitely postpone the bill? Senator Lamb, followed by Senators Nelson and Labedz.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, as you well remember the other day we went through the kill motion and that was defeated, and I think that was the proper course of action. I would just call to your attention that for the first time, for the first time there is an agreement with the insurance companies on this issue. This issue has been before the Legislature many times, many times. And always we have not had any agreement or cooperation from the insurance people who are mainly the people that pay this fee. But this year the insurance industry has agreed to the \$1.75 figure. Also, there has also been an agreement, as I understand it, that this issue will not be raised again in the immediate future if this bill is successful. Now as I stated the other day, this fee is being put in line with other states. Other states have as high as \$4, and the lowest one in our surrounding area here, that I have on this list, is \$2. So we are still below what other states are charging this fee. Iowa is \$4, South Dakota \$4, a couple of states are \$2.50, and a couple of them are \$2. So this, in my opinion, is a reasonable bill, and I rise to oppose the kill motion.